

1. Please share with us your thoughts on the course:

Please comment on the lab or discussion leader's strengths and areas for possible improvement.

- "Instructor was informative. Improve on how the readings applied to the teachings." -- [REDACTED]
- "Thibaud did an excellent job! I look forward to seeing and reading his work in the future." -- [REDACTED]
- "Thibaud did a great job at following up what we had been learning in class in discussion. He allowed space for questions and if there were none initiated discussions on the topics in a manner that was helpful. Very knowledgeable and engaging " -- [REDACTED]
- "Helped my understanding of the material covered in class, open discussion environment" -- [REDACTED]
- "I feel that the dicussion leader is excellent. Not only is he extremely knowledgable about the subject matter, but he is also very passionate about teaching. I hope that his ultimate career objective is to be a professor because I think he would be a very talented and well-liked one." -- [REDACTED]
- "Review of lecture materials and preparation for midterm and final were really the strong points of this course. Mr. Henin was clearly very knowledgeable about IR, and his knowledge and passion for the subject translated into engaging and effective teaching." -- [REDACTED]
- "Really nice guy and easy to talk to." -- [REDACTED]
- "Extremely helpful and approachable. Easily one of the best gtf's I will encounter in my college career. Natural teaching ability. He put 100% into this discussion section, and genuinely cares about his students. An overall example of how discussion sections should be run. " -- [REDACTED]
- "Thibaud is a very helpful GTF with a lot to offer and a good attitude about his job. He is very open for suggestions and allowed us to lead in discussions of topics that may be unclear or more difficult. He is friendly and approachable and very knowledgeable on the topics" -- [REDACTED]

Please comment on the strengths and areas of possible improvement for the lab or discussion as a whole.

- "At times the discussion was unclear and inadequate." -- [REDACTED]
- "In the prisoners dilemma game there should have been more structure such as make your decision in 3, 2, 1, compare answers and then move on to the next round. Spending more time coming to a consensus instead and voting instead of asking questions could be good for the case studies." -- [REDACTED]
- "I felt that the case studies that were explored in the discussion section really helped us learn more about the subjects discussed in class. I also liked that there were days that were designated for review." -- [REDACTED]
- "As with the grading criteria of the midterm and final, grading for discussion assignments was rather vague. On the second case study individual response, I received a far lower score than I was expecting, with the suggestion that I should explain in terms of subjects we had been discussing since Week 2, whereas I used subjects discussed more recently in class. I never felt it was clear what I should be thinking about at any given time." -- [REDACTED]
- "Good discussion but seemed a little unnecessary to have one for this course, just my opinion. Loved the GTF who taught it though great guy." -- [REDACTED]
- "The discussion and the case study assignments were helpful. Overall a good discussion that did not feel like a waste of time." -- [REDACTED]
- "This was a great discussion, Thibaud knows his stuff and is a nice guy. He did a great job leading the class and keeping it running smoothly. " -- [REDACTED]